Weighted sampling for variance reduction

First version:1st October 2004This version:12th July 2008

Assume we wish to compute the expectation of a binary option by means of a Monte Carlo simulation with n samples:

$$\mathsf{E}\big[\mathbf{1}_{\{z>K\}}\big] \approx x_n \tag{1}$$

with

$$x_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{z_i > K\}} \,. \tag{2}$$

Assume further that we want z to have the law of a standard normal distribution, and that we wish to enhance the convergence of our Monte Carlo simulation by sampling from a normal distribution that is shifted by μ , adjusting for the likelihood ratio:

$$\tilde{x}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{z_i + \mu > K\}} \frac{\varphi(z_i + \mu)}{\varphi(z_i)} \quad \text{with} \quad z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1) .$$
(3)

The sum \tilde{x}_n is a random number with expectation

$$\mathsf{E}[\tilde{x}_n] = \Phi(-K) . \tag{4}$$

Its second moment is

$$\mathsf{E}[\tilde{x}_n^2] = \frac{1}{n^2} \mathsf{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{z_i+\mu>K\}} \frac{\varphi(z_i+\mu)}{\varphi(z_i)}\right)^2\right]$$
(5)

$$= \frac{n}{n^2} \int_{z=K-\mu}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\varphi(z+\mu)}{\varphi(z)}\right)^2 \varphi(z) \, \mathrm{d}z + \frac{n^2-n}{n^2} \left(\int_{z=K-\mu}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\varphi(z+\mu)}{\varphi(z)}\right) \varphi(z) \, \mathrm{d}z\right)^2 (6)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_{z=K-\mu}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z+\mu)^2 \cdot 2 + \frac{1}{2}z^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \Phi^2(-K)$$
(7)

$$= \frac{1}{n} e^{\mu^2} \cdot \int_{z=K-\mu}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z+2\mu)^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \, \mathrm{d}z + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \Phi^2(-K) \tag{8}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} e^{\mu^2} \Phi(-K-\mu) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \Phi^2(-K)$$
(9)

The variance of \tilde{x}_n , i.e.

$$\mathsf{V}[\tilde{x}_n] = \frac{1}{n} \left(\mathsf{e}^{\mu^2} \Phi(-K - \mu) - \Phi^2(-K) \right)$$
(10)

is therefore a function of both μ and K, and we show this in figure 1. In order to minimise the

^{*}OTC Analytics



Figure 1: The decadic logarithm of normalised variance, i.e. $\log_{10} \left(n \cdot V[\tilde{x}_n] / \mathsf{E}[\tilde{x}_n]^2 \right)$.

variance of the simulation result as a function of the shift parameter μ , we set

$$\partial_{\mu} \mathsf{V}[\tilde{x}_n] = 0 \tag{11}$$

which gives us

$$2\mu\Phi(-K-\mu) = \varphi(K+\mu) . \tag{12}$$

The value $\mu = \mu^*(K)$ that solves (12) minimises the variance of \tilde{x}_n .

By direct differentiation of equation (12), we can derive that the slope of the optimal curve $\mu^*(K)$ can be expressed as the comparatively simple function

$$\mu^{*'}(K) = \frac{\mu^{*}(K)(\mu^{*}(K) - K)}{1 - \mu^{*}(K)(\mu^{*}(K) - K)}.$$
(13)

This result can be used for the construction of an approximation. Choosing α and β such that the hyperbolic approximation

$$\beta K + \sqrt{(1-\beta)^2 K^2 + \alpha^2} \tag{14}$$

matches $\mu^*(K)$ both in value and in slope at K = 0 leads to

$$\alpha = \mu^*(0) = 0.6120031809624\dots$$
(15)

$$\beta = \frac{\alpha^2}{1 - \alpha^2} = 0.5988434439993\dots$$
 (16)

and this approximation is fairly accurate for $K \ge 0$. For K < 0, however, the hyperbolic form is not the most suitable. Instead, the exponential form

$$\alpha e^{\beta_{\alpha} K + \gamma K^2} \tag{17}$$

with

$$\gamma = \frac{1 - 2\beta}{2\alpha^2} = -0.2639006805605\dots$$
(18)

is more appropriate. In total, a reasonable approximation for $\mu^*(K)$ is given by:

$$\mu_{\text{approximation}}^{*}(K) = \begin{cases} \alpha e^{\beta_{\alpha}K + \gamma K^{2}} & \text{for } K < 0\\ \beta K + \sqrt{(1-\beta)^{2}K^{2} + \alpha^{2}} & \text{for } K \ge 0 \end{cases}$$
(19)

The curves $\mu^*(K)$ and $\mu^*_{\rm approximation}(K)$ are shown in figure 2. The variance reduction factor



Figure 2: The variance minimising shift $\mu^*(K)$ (red solid line) and its hyperbolic approximation $\mu^*_{approximation}(K)$ (green dashed line) and their difference (blue short dashed line).

achieved by the use of the shift $\mu^*(K)$ and its approximation $\mu^*_{\text{approximation}}(K)$ is shown in figure 3. Note that for $K \approx 3^{1/2}$, the reduction in variance already exceeds a factor of 10^3 .



Figure 3: The decadic logarithm of the reduction in variance resulting from the use of the Gaussian shift $\mu^*(K)$ (red solid line) and its approximation $\mu^*_{\text{approximation}}(K)$ (green dashed line) as a function of the strike K.